

Proposed Tied Pubs (Code and Adjudicator) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the Public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please provide your name or the name of your organisation

Please provide a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Q1. 1. Which of the following best expresses your view of establishing a statutory Scottish Pubs Code and Scottish Pubs Code Adjudicator? Please explain the reasons for your response.

Partially supportive

Q2. 2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. 3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of establishing a statutory Scottish Pubs Code and Adjudicator?

No Response

Q4. 4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of establishing a statutory Scottish Pubs Code and Adjudicator?

No Response

Q5. 5. Which of the following best expresses your view of establishing a Market Rent Only option for tenants as part of a Scottish Pubs Code?

Neutral (neither support nor oppose)

Q6. 6. What do you think of the proposed contents of the Bill and the Code, and the scope of the Adjudicator's powers, as detailed on pages 17-18 of the consultation document?

No Response

Q7. 7. Which of the following best expresses your view of the Scottish Pubs Code Adjudicator being able to impose financial penalties for breaches of the Code?

Partially opposed

Q8. 8. In terms of who the Scottish Pubs Code, and Market Rent Only option, should apply to, which of the following best expresses your view? If you choose option (a) you will automatically be taken to question 10. If you choose (b) or (c) you will automatically be taken to question 9.

(c) The Scottish Pubs Code should apply only to larger pubcos and the Market Rent Only option should only apply to tenants of those larger pubcos.

Q9. 9. How should larger pubcos be defined (e.g. by size of turnover, number of tied pubs owned in Scotland (if so, how many, etc.)?)

No Response

Page 17: Financial implications

Q10. 10. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have on:

No Response

Q11. 11. How do you think the associated costs of the proposal (predominantly the establishment and on-going running costs of a Scottish Pub Code Adjudicator) should be funded?

No Response

Page 19: Equalities

Q12. 12. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on equality, taking account of the following protected characteristics (under the Equality Act 2010): age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion and belief, sex, sexual orientation?

No Response

Q13. 13. In what ways could any negative impact of the Bill on any of the protected characteristics be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Page 21: Sustainability of the Proposal

Q14. 14. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably, i.e. without having future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impacts?

No Response

Page 22: General

Q15. 15. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal?

Sorry if I have wasted your time. I am aware of pubs closing due to a downturn in customers. We all know that the main reason for this was the smoking ban. Unfortunately drink and cigarettes (both health

Q15. 15. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal?

hazards) have often gone hand in hand. The smoking ban was necessary to protect the non smoker but in Scotland perhaps it has gone a little too far. I Visit England for social and business reasons and I can book a room where smoking is allowed. One of the hotels in Birmingham I use has one whole floor dedicated to smokers and is often fully booked. In Scotland Pubs should be allowed to set aside a room (if they wish) for smokers provided ventilation extraction was adequate. Even if Pubs are not allowed this Licensed Smokers Clubs should be allowed to be set up. You must remember smokers are members of the public and also voters. The Scottish government has gone a step too far in there desire to control every part of our lives. Scotland will soon be like China with our freedoms being chipped away bit by bit.