Proposed Tied Pubs (Code and Adjudicator) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the Public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name
of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation
Please provide your name or the name of your organisation
Nicholas Mark Thirgood
Please provide a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.
Q1. 1. Which of the following best expresses your view of establishing a statutory Scottish Pubs Code and Scottish Pubs Code Adjudicator? Please explain the reasons for your response.
Fully supportive

Q2. 2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)? No Please explain the reasons for your response. The current law is biased in favour of the breweries who own and rent the tied pubs to the landlord. The system has worked in their favour for years and they have not made any attempt to make it fair and I do not believe they will do so unless forced by law. Q3. 3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of establishing a statutory Scottish Pubs Code and Adjudicator? It would ensure fairness and an Leblanc playing field for pub landlords, help protect pubs from closure and give the public more choice. Q4. 4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of establishing a statutory Scottish Pubs Code and Adjudicator? The landlord profits would reduce Q5. 5. Which of the following best expresses your view of establishing a Market Rent Only option for tenants as part of a Scottish Pubs Code? Fully supportive Q6. 6. What do you think of the proposed contents of the Bill and the Code, and the scope of the Adjudicator's powers, as detailed on pages 17-18 of the consultation document? It should create a level playing field for all pubs regardless of who their landlord is. Q7. 7. Which of the following best expresses your view of the Scottish Pubs Code Adjudicator being able to impose financial penalties for breaches of the Code? Fully supportive Please explain the reasons for your response. The legislation needs to have teeth

Q8. 8. In terms of who the Scottish Pubs Code, and Market Rent Only option, should apply to, which of the following best expresses your view? If you choose option (a) you will automatically be taken to question 10. If you choose (b) or (c) you will automatically be taken to question 9.

(a) The Scottish Pubs Code - including the Market Rent Only option - should apply to all tied pubs in Scotland

Q8. 8. In terms of who the Scottish Pubs Code, and Market Rent Only option, should apply to, which of the following best expresses your view? If you choose option (a) you will automatically be taken to question 10. If you choose (b) or (c) you will automatically be taken to question 9.

Please explain the reasons for your response.

To create a level playing field for everyone.

Q9. 9. How should larger pubcos be defined (e.g. by size of turnover, number of tied pubs owned in Scotland (if so, how many, etc.))?

No Response

Page 17: Financial implications

Q10. 10. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have on:

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
(a) the pub companies which own tied pubs (Pubcos)		X				
(b) Tied-pub tenants					x	
(c) Tied-pub customers				Х		

Please explain the reasons for your response

Reduced rent and the ability to source stock from a wider range of suppliers should increase choice and reduce costs for both the public and the tenants of tied pubs. Not being able to charge excessive rents or restrict supplier choice will have some impact on pub landlords, but this will be minimal in the overall picture of their operations.

Q11. 11. How do you think the associated costs of the proposal (predominantly the establishment and ongoing running costs of a Scottish Pub Code Adjudicator) should be funded?

The government generates significant revenue from alcohol anyway and should be able to fund the cost of an adjudicator out of existing resources. Fines imposed for breaching the code could also be used to reduce the net cost.

If additional funding is required this should be by an equitable and balanced levy on both pub landlords and tenants.

Page 19: Equalities

Q12. 12. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on equality, taking account of the following protected characteristics (under the Equality Act 2010): age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion and belief, sex, sexual orientation?

Neutral (neither positive nor negative)

Please explain the reasons for your response

This isn't an issue about equality for protected groups, it is about ending unfair contracts

Q13. 13. In what ways could any negative impact of the Bill on any of the protected characteristics be minimised or avoided?

There shouldn't be any negative impacts of the bill

Page 21: Sustainability of the Proposal

Q14. 14. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably, i.e. without having future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impacts?

Yes

Page 22: General

Q15. 15. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal?

No Response